

ANALYSIS OF PACKET LOSS IN VIDEO TRANSFERS OVER UDP

Ljiljana Trajkovic

Fei Xue and Velibor Markovski

Communication Networks Laboratory

<http://www.ensc.sfu.ca/research/cnl>

School of Engineering Science

Simon Fraser University


QoS parameters for multimedia applications

- Packet loss
- Packet delay
- Delay jitter
- Cell delay variation
 - Packet loss probability
 - Packet loss behavior

Introduction

- Video transfers over User Datagram Protocol (UDP)
- Packet loss behavior
- ns-2 simulator, driven by a “Star Wars” trace
- Wavelet-based analysis


Simulation scenario




n : source number, B : buffer size

ns-2 network simulator, 1999: <http://www-mash.cs.berkeley.edu/ns/> .

Traffic trace



Textured dot strip plot for packet loss times



Simulation run with buffer size $B = 512$ packets and $n = 80$ sources.

Packet loss and loss bursts

Packets with sequence numbers

1, 4, and 6 are successfully received

2, 3, and 5 are lost.

First *loss burst*:

Begins with packet 2 and ends with packet 3.


Length = two packets.

Second *loss burst*:

Begins and ends with packet 5.

Length = one packet.

Contribution of lost packets



Buffer size $B = 512$ packets

Contribution of loss bursts

Example: Total number of lost packets = 200.

Loss burst of length:

1: 70

2: 40

3: 10

4: 5

No. of packets:

$1 \times 70 = 70$

$2 \times 40 = 80$

$3 \times 10 = 30$

$4 \times 5 = 20$

Contribution of loss burst of:


length 1: $70/200 = 0.35$

length 3: $30/200 = 0.15$

length 2: $80/200 = 0.40$

length 4: $20/200 = 0.10$

Contribution of loss bursts




Buffer size $B = 512$ packets

Lost packets and mean loss burst distances

	Contribution of lost packets to overall loss (%)				
<i>i</i> (pkts)	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Band A</i>	25.98	28.75	19.77	12.59	6.56
<i>Band B</i>	43.84	28.99	16.30	7.25	3.62
<i>Band A</i>	<i>Mean loss burst distance = 148</i>				
<i>Band B</i>	<i>Mean loss burst distance = 1145</i>				

Wavelet analysis



LRD and Hurst parameter


- Self-similar process:
 - long-range dependent (LRD)
 - fractal
 - with heavy-tailed distributions
- Network traffic exhibits self-similarity
- Hurst parameter: $0.5 < H < 1$

Wavelet-based Hurst parameter estimator

$$\Gamma(2^{-j} v_0) = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_k |d(j, k)|^2$$

- Γ : spectrum of time series
 $d(j, k)$: the detail coefficients at level j
 n_j : the number of coefficients at level j
- Linear relationship between $\log_2(\Gamma)$ and j indicate LRD.
- $\log_2(\Gamma) = (2H - 1)j + c$, H : estimated Hurst parameter

Traffic analysis example: $\log_2(\Gamma)$ vs. j plot of trace *pOct.TL*




Graph indicates linear behavior in the range [7,13].

Wavelet analysis of packet loss


- We use wavelet-based analysis to detect both the presence and the location of LRD.
- Loss rate process:
each sample represents the number of loss packets over 1,000 ms.

Experiment results (1)




Buffer size $B = 128$ packets

Experiment results (2)



Buffer size $B = 512$ packets

Experiment results (3)



Buffer size $B = 1024$ packets

Packet loss behavior

- Packet loss behavior and loss properties vary over different time scales.
- Linear relationship between $\log_2(\Gamma)$ and j is evident for the coarser scales, i.e., beyond the break-point = 10.

Hurst parameter estimation

Table 1: Estimated Hurst parameters of a packet loss process with 1,000 ms granularity, n sources, and buffer size $B = 128$.

n	Variance - time	R/S
70	0.8273	0.8326
80	0.6667	0.8663
90	0.6647	0.7971

All estimated Hurst parameters are larger than 0.5, which indicates the existence of LRD in packet loss on coarser time scales.

Concluding remarks

- Increased utilization causes lengthier loss bursts.
- Periods of lower congestion are characterized with more frequent single loss bursts and with wider loss burst distances.
- Packet loss exhibits long-range dependence over the coarser time scales.

Concluding remarks

- Time scales are important for estimating behavior of packet loss.
- Wavelet-based analysis proved useful for finding time scale break-points beyond which long-range dependency can be detected.

Future work

- Influence of network protocols (such as TCP) and their feedback mechanisms on the occurrence of packet loss.
- Simulation and analysis of packet delays in UDP and TCP networks.

References

- P. Abry and D. Veitch, “Wavelet analysis of long-range dependent traffic,” *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 44, pp. 2–15, 1998.
- M. S. Borella, D. Swider, S. Uludag, and G. B. Brewster, “Internet packet loss: measurement and implications for end-to-end QoS,” in *Proc. Int. Conference on Parallel Processing*, Minneapolis, MN, pp. 3–15, Aug. 1998.
- M. W. Garrett and W. Willinger, “Analysis, modeling and generation of self-similar VBR video traffic,” in *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM’94*, London, UK, Aug. 1994, pp. 269–280.
- D. S. Swayne, D. Cook, and A. Buja, “XGobi: Interactive dynamic data visualization in the X window system,” *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 113–130, Mar. 1998.
- F. Xue, V. Markovski, and Lj. Trajkovic, “Wavelet analysis of packet loss in video transfer over UDP,” to be presented at *the 1st International Conference on Internet Computing*, June 26–29, 2000, Las Vegas, USA.