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## 1 Abstract

We attempted to verify the validity of PSPICE simulation and mathematical analysis with Ebers-Moll model by comparing their results for five different circuits which display negative differential resistance (NDR) and multiple operating point (MOP) characteristics. In some cases, we also constructed the actual circuits and used them as references to ascertain the accuracy of the results generated via simulation and numerical analysis. Through these investigations, we have successfully shown that both SPICE and the Ebers-Moll model are in general accurate at predicting the behavior of circuits with NDR's.

## 2 Introduction

Circuits with negative resistances and multiple operating points are useful in applications such as negative resistors and memory cells. However, because of their abnormal behavior, their dc operating points and general behavior are usually hard to predict. In this project, we experimented on five circuits and all of which have NDR behavior, including Schmitt Trigger, Orchard circuit, flip-flop, and two other circuits. We applied theorems from the papers and utilized them as tools to help us predict the circuits' behavior, focusing mainly on their operating points and NDR regions, and simulated these circuits with PSPICE and MATLAB in search for their multiple operating points. For the Schmitt Trigger and the Orchard circuit, we implemented the actual circuits and observed the experimental results on an oscilloscope. The following sections describe the applied theorems, the experimental procedure, and the outcomes of our investigation.

## 3 Background

The simulations in this project were carried out in PSPICE and MATLAB. In this section, we will introduce the models and constants used in both simulation approaches, as well as the theories used behind our analysis.

### 3.1 Model and Constants Employed

The circuit components investigated in our project include both npn and pnp bipolar junction transistors. For PSPICE simulation, the models used are 2N3904 and 2N3906 for the npn and pnp BJT's, respectively. As for the mathematical analysis implemented with MATLAB, we applied Ebers-Moll model, shown in Figure 1, in substitution for the transistors.


Figure 3-1. Ebers-Moll Model.

In Figure 3.1, the values of $i_{e}$ and $i_{c}$ depends on $v_{l}$ an $v_{2}$ with the following relationships:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{e}=m_{e}\left(e^{q v 1 / k T}-1\right)  \tag{3.1.1}\\
& i_{c}=m_{c}\left(e^{q \nu 2 / k T}-1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(3.1.2)
where $k$ is the Boltzmann's constant, $T=300 \mathrm{~K}$ is the room temperature in Kelvin, and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $m_{c}$ are the emitter and collector saturation current, respectively. From PSPICE model parameters, the emitter and collector saturation current, for npn and pnp transistor, respectively, are: $6.734 \mathrm{E}-15 \mathrm{~A}, 15.833 \mathrm{E}-15 \mathrm{~A}, 1.41 \mathrm{E}-15 \mathrm{~A}$, and $1.684 \mathrm{E}-15 \mathrm{~A}$.

### 3.2 Theories

Given a transistor circuit, we are often interested in finding the existence and uniqueness of the operating point of the circuit. For the five circuits we have investigated, we applied theories from the papers to characterize their behavior. A circuit's ability to possess multiple operating points depends on four factors: circuit topology, circuit parameters, biasing, and transistor types. For our project, our focus is on two-transistor circuits, Theorem 7 in [3] states that a two-transistor circuit, with arbitrary number of transistors and independent sources, possesses at most three dc operating points. Following sections discuss these factors for two-transistor circuits.

## Topology

Theorem 7 in [3] states that a two-transistor circuit, with an arbitrary number of resistors and independent sources, possesses at most three dc operating points. We know that a transistor circuit can possess multiple operating points only if it possesses a feedback structure [3]; that is, having the right topology is a necessary condition for having multiple operating points. We are also interested in if the circuit exhibits negative differential resistance (NDR) behavior. It is shown that for a one-port, an N-type NDR can occur only if a feedback structure is present when the port is open-circuited and an S-type NDR can occur only if a feedback structure is present when the port is short-circuited [1, 2]. In other words, topology acts as our first check condition for a circuit possessing multiple operating points or NDR. If the circuit does not have a feedback structure, we can conclude right away that the circuit can have at most one operating point.

## Parameters

We can always write the equation for a transistor circuit as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*} F(v)+B^{*} v+c=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem of identifying the existence of $\operatorname{NDR}$ is equivalent to identify if $(A, B)$ is a Wo pair. The sign of determinant $\operatorname{det}(A D+B)$ is an important indicator for possible NDR behavior in transistor circuits [1], where matrices A and B model the resistive part of the circuit and the presence of nonlinear elements in the circuit, and D is a diagonal matrix. Any transistor one-port, when biased at an operating point where NDR is exhibited, will necessarily be operating at a point where $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{AD}+\mathrm{B})<0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}(A D+B)=c^{1234} d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4}+c^{1234} d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4}+c^{123} d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}+c^{124} d_{1} d_{2} d_{4}+c^{134} d_{1} d_{3} d_{4} \\
& +c^{234} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4}+c^{12} d_{1} d_{2}+c^{13} d_{1} d_{3}+c^{14} d_{1} d_{4}+c^{23} d_{2} d_{3}+c^{24} d_{2} d_{4}+c^{34} d_{3} d_{4}+c^{1} d_{1}+c^{2} d_{2} \\
& +c^{3} d_{3}+c^{4} d_{4}+c^{0} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$c^{s}$, with $s$ denoting a set of integers, is the determinant associated with a matrix from the set $C(A, B)$ obtained by taking columns s from matrix $A$, and the rest of the columns from matrix $B$, while their original relative order is maintained. It has been proved that in a two-transistor case, only one of the $\mathrm{c}^{13}, \mathrm{c}^{14}, \mathrm{c}^{23}, \mathrm{c}^{24}$ can possibly be negative [3]. If we also know the feedback structure, then we can be sure which one of the 4 coefficients is possibly negative.

If G matrix exists for a circuit, we can write the equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(v)+T^{l} G^{*} v+T^{l} G^{*} c=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem of identifying the existence of NDR is equivalent to identify if $\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{G}$ is a Po matrix. In other words, if we can choose circuit parameters (e.g. resistor values) such that $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{G}\right)<0$, the circuit can possibly exhibit NDR. It has been proved that in a two-transistor circuit, instead of having to evaluate $15\left(2^{4}-1\right)$ principal minors of the matrix $\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{G}$ or the matrix composed of $\ell(\mathrm{QT}, \mathrm{P})$, we need only check $42 \times 2$ principal minors; that is, $\mathrm{c}^{13}, \mathrm{c}^{14}, \mathrm{c}^{23}, \mathrm{c}^{24}$. If we also know the feedback structure, then we can be sure which one of the 4 coefficients is possibly negative.

## Biasing

In addition to having a right topology and choosing circuit parameters to satisfy the determinant condition, there is another important condition, which is related to the physical operation of transistors. That is, the transistors have to be properly biased to forward or reverse state. Biasing Theorem [1] says that for any two-transistor one-port which exhibits NDR behavior, when the one-port is operating at any point on the region of NDR, no transistor can be in the off state, and if the emitter (collector) is involved in the feedback structure, the corresponding transistor must be in the forward (reverse) state. Also, it is shown that a two-transistor one-port, with common-emitter feedback structure, can exhibit NDR only if the transistor junctions are biased such that $v_{1}>v_{2}\left(v_{l}<v_{2}\right)$ and $v_{3}>v_{4}\left(v_{3}<v_{4}\right)$ for a pnp (an npn) transistor [3]. Consequently, current flows out of the collector of each pnp transistor and into the collector of each npn transistor. These theorems act as a tool to help us bias the transistor junctions so that they physically function properly.

## Transistor Types

Besides these three factors, Chua have conjecture that a one-port containing only resistors and two transistors, no internal sources, can exhibit N-type (S-type) NDR behavior only if the transistors are of similar (complementary) type [2, 3]. Applying this to our example circuits, along with the topology factor, means that if the circuit has a feedback structure
when it is voltage (current) driven, its $i-v$ characteristic is expected to be S-type (N-type), and the two transistors must be of complementary (similar) type.

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Circuit 1 - Flip-Flop

Illustrated in Figure 4-1 is the circuit diagram of the Flip-Flop circuit with voltage driven feedback path. As shown from the diagram, flip-flop circuit is consisted of two similar types of transistors and it has a feedback path, therefore, we can conclude that it can possess multiple operating points. The two sources shown in the circuit is the internal sources of the circuit, so we cannot utilize it to obtain port characteristics of the circuit. Instead we use an auxiliary source V4 as a tool to ascertain three initial points to assist us in obtaining the actual operating points. Figure $4-2$ represents the $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CQ} 1}$ vs. $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ relationship.


Figure 4-1: Flip-Flop Circuit with Auxiliary Source


Figure 4-2: i-v Characteristic of Flip-Flop Circuit with Auxiliary Source

Choosing a current value between 0 to -10 uA and drawing a horizontal line across the graph to obtain three intersecting points, we can find three sets of corresponding voltage values for three different operating points. We then use these values acquired as initial guess points to simulate the real circuit in PSPICE. Figure 4-3 illustrates the original circuit with initial guess values applied on certain nodes.


Figure 4-3: Original Flip-Flop Circuit

The circuit is also simulated with MATLAB. Since it possesses a feedback structure FS $(1,3)$, we expect the determinant $\mathrm{c}^{13}$ to be negative if the circuit is to exhibit NDR characteristics. And we found the value of the determinant $c^{13}$ to be $-1.3752 \times 10^{-10}$, which is indeed negative. As previously mentioned the determinant condition is a necessary condition for a circuit to have multiple operating points and it is satisfied here. Comparison between simulation results from Matlab and PSPICE is shown in Table 4-1. We can see that the two sets of values are reasonably close, which is as expected.

Table 4-1: Comparison Table of PSPICE and Matlab of Flip-Flop Circuit

|  |  | V1 (V) | V2 (V) | V3 (V) | V4 (V) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSPICE | 1 | $-\mathbf{0 . 6 9 5}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 6 1 7}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 1 0 2}$ | 7.42 |
|  | 2 | -0.692 | 0.305 | $-\mathbf{0 . 6 8 3}$ | 0.43 |
|  | 3 | $-\mathbf{0 . 0 8 8}$ | 11.92 | $-\mathbf{- 1 2 . 0 5}$ | -11.96 |
| MATLAB | 1 | -0.714 | 0.618 | $-\mathbf{- 0 . 0 9 6}$ | 7.45 |
|  | 2 | -0.710 | 0.318 | $-\mathbf{0 . 6 9 8}$ | 0.407 |
|  | 3 | $-\mathbf{0 . 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9 2}$ | -12.05 | -11.96 |

### 4.2 Circuit 2

Illustrated below is the circuit diagram of the Circuit 2 with both current driven and voltage driven feedback path shown.


Figure 4-4: Circuit Diagram of Circuit 2 and Its Feedback Paths

As can be seen, the circuit has two complimentary circuits; therefore, the circuit does not possess N-type NDR, but could possess S-type NDR. Figure 4-5 shows the $v-i$ curve of the circuit. Although this circuit is voltage driven, the simulation is done through replacing the voltage source with a current source and sweeping the current source to ascertain the port characteristic.


Figure 4-5: $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{- i}$ Characteristic of Circuit 2
We can see that for voltages between 1 V to 2.2 V there could be up to 3 corresponding current values, i.e., when the circuit is voltage driven, it could possess up to 3 operating points. NDR occurs at the range between 5 mA to 60 mA . Shown in Figure $4-6$ is the same relation with x - and y -axis swapped to show the S-type NDR behavior. The NDR region is about 1.2 V .


Figure 4-6: $\boldsymbol{i}-\boldsymbol{v}$ Characteristic (S-type NDR) of Circuit 2

We also chose another set of resistor values which satisfies the determinant criteria; the following figures illustrate the corresponding port characteristics. Again, Figure 4-8 is just Figure 4-7 with $x$ - and $y$-axis swapped, to show the S-type NDR behavior.


Figure 4-7: $v-i$ Characteristic of Circuit $2(R 1=11 k, R 2=3 k, R 3=2 k)$


Figure 4-8: $\boldsymbol{i}-\boldsymbol{v}$ Characteristic (S-type NDR) of Circuit $2(\mathbf{R 1}=11 \mathrm{k}, \mathbf{R 2}=\mathbf{3 k}, \mathbf{R} 3=\mathbf{2 k})$

Applying MATLAB simulation to the circuit, we found $c^{13}$ to be-7.39, and the necessary condition for NDR is indeed satisfied. Table shows the comparison between the Matlab simulation results and PSPICE simulation results, and we can see that these two sets of results are reasonably consistent, which is as expected.

Table 4-2: Comparison between PSPICE and Matlab for Circuit 2

|  |  | V1 (V) | V2 (V) | V3 (V) | V4 (V) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSPICE | $\mathbf{1}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 8 9}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 7 0 7}$ |
|  | 2 | -0.647 | $-\mathbf{0 . 3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 9}$ |
|  | 3 | -0.716 | $-\mathbf{0 . 5 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 4 5}$ |
| MATLAB | 1 | -0.275 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 8}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 6 4 1}$ |
|  | 2 | -0.632 | $-\mathbf{0 . 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 7}$ |
|  | 3 | -0.758 | $-\mathbf{0 . 5 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 3 9}$ |

To verify that the circuit does not possess N-type NDR when it is voltage driven, we experimented PSPICE simulation and concluded that for these two sets of values, even though the determinant condition is satisfied and the circuit has a feedback structure, we still would not be able to bias the transistors so that this circuit possesses an N-type NDR. As a matter of fact, we have tried a several different combinations (that satisfy the determinant condition, of course), and none of them would achieve an N-type NDR. Figure 4-9 and Figure 4-10 shows the general characteristics when the circuit is current driven.


Figure 4-9: i-v Characteristic (Set 1) of Circuit 2 When Current Driven


Figure 4-10: $\boldsymbol{i - v}$ Characteristic (Set 2) of Circuit 2 When Current Driven

### 4.3 Circuit 3

Illustrated below is the circuit diagram of the Circuit 3 with current driven feedback path shown by the dotted lines. This circuit does not possess a feedback path when it is voltage driven and therefore, the circuit does not have multiple operating points under such condition.


Figure 4-11; Circuit Diagram of Circuit 3 and Feedback Paths

Figure 4-12 illustrates the $i-v$ characteristic of the circuit. We can clearly see the circuit exhibits N-type NDR behavior when it is current driven. We can see that with current values between 0 to 34 mA , there exist 3 corresponding voltage values, which means the circuit possesses up to three operating points when it is current driven.


Figure 4-12: $i-v$ Characteristic of Circuit $3(R 1=2.2 k, R 2=470, R 3=10 k)$

Through MATLAB simulation, we found $\mathrm{c}^{13}$ to be $-0.0004525<0$, and Table $4-3$ shows the comparison between the Matlab simulation results and PSPICE simulation results, and we can see that the two sets of results are reasonably consistent.

Table 4-3: Comparison Table of PSPICE and Matlab of Circuit 3

|  |  | V1 (V) | V2 (V) | V3 (V) | V4 (V) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSPICE | 1 | -0.224 | 0.5 | -0.725 | 0.545 |
|  | 2 | -0.627 | 0.0856 | -0.728 | 2.838 |
|  | 3 | -0.790 | -0.759 | -0.03 | 17.21 |
| MATLAB | 1 | -0.165 | 0.558 | -0.723 | 0.212 |
|  | 2 | -0.632 | 0.0864 | -0.719 | 2.875 |
|  | 3 | -0.731 | -0.704 | -0.0262 | 17.168 |

### 4.4 Circuit 4 - Orchard

Figure 4-13 shows the schematic of the Orchard circuit, with feedback structure shown in dotted line, for the voltage driven and current driven case.


Figure 4-13: Orchard Circuit When (a) Voltage Driven, and (b) Current Driven

We know that in order for a voltage driven circuit to exhibit S-type NDR behavior, it requires complimentary type of transistors, which is not the case here. Therefore, we do not expect to observe S-type NDR behavior. Indeed, no matter how we choose the resistor values and bias the transistors, the circuit did not exhibit S-type NDR behavior. For the current driven case, all criteria for a circuit's ability to possess multiple operating points are satisfied. That is, the circuit has a feedback structure, its determinant $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ is negative ( -1.65 E 20 ), and the two transistors are of similar type. Therefore, we expect to observe N-type NDR behavior. Figure 4-14 below shows the SPICE simulation result for the Orchard circuit with the original resistor values. We can see that the Orchard circuit has a nice and long NDR region, which is about 40 V . We have also experimented different combination of resistor values, and Figure $4-15$ shows the result. We can see that the NDR region is about 10V. The comparison between PSPICE and Matlab simulation results are shown in Table 4.4.


Figure 4-14: $\boldsymbol{i - v}$ Characteristic of Current Driven Orchard Circuit $(\mathrm{R} 1=110, \mathrm{R} 2=237, \mathrm{R} 3=21.5 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{R} 4=178, \mathrm{R} 5=21.5 \mathrm{k})($ original value $)$


Figure 4-15: i-v Characteristic of Current Driven Orchard Circuit


Table 4-4: Comparison Table of PSPICE and Matlab for Orchard Circuit

|  | $\mathrm{V} 1(\mathrm{~V})$ | $\mathrm{V} 2(\mathrm{~V})$ | $\mathrm{V} 3(\mathrm{~V})$ | $\mathrm{V} 4(\mathrm{~V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSPICE | 0.422 | -1.257 | 0.717 | -0.192 |
|  | 0.680 | -0.587 | 0.690 | -8.56 |
|  | 0.724 | 0.702 | -0.108 | -17.95 |
|  | 0.417 | -1.251 | 0.718 | -0.189 |
|  | 0.682 | -0.595 | 0.701 | -8.599 |
|  | 0.723 | 0.704 | -0.111 | -17.952 |

We have also experimented with the circuit voltage driven; we change the type of transistor of Q2 and "flip" it. That is, Q2 is now an npn transistor with emitter connected to ground. The circuit and SPICE simulation result is shown below:


Figure 4-16: Voltage Driven Orchard Circuit with Q2 Changed to npn and Flipped


Figure 4-17: i-v Characteristic for the Altered Voltage Driven Orchard Circuit

We can see that if we sweep the voltage up to 40 V , the $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{v}$ characteristics exhibits a S-type NDR. Figure 4-18 gives a zoom-in version of the lower portion of the $i-v$ characteristics. We can see that the NDR region is about 18 V .


Figure 4-18: Zoom-in Version of the Lower Portion of Figure 4-17

For the current driven case, we have also built the actual circuit on a breadboard (with our own values). The result is shown in Figure 4-19, where the $x$-axis and $y$-axis is voltage and current across the port, respectively.


Figure 4-19: Experimental Result for the Current Driven Orchard Circuit

We can clearly see that the experimental result is very similar to the SPICE simulation result. Both have a NDR region about 10 V ; this tells that the actual circuit works very well, which is expected.

### 4.5 Circuit 5 - Schmitt Trigger

Figure 4-20 is the Schmitt Trigger circuit tested with the feedback structure FS(1,3) indicated by dotted lines


Figure 4-20: Schmitt Trigger and Feedback Structure

The circuit only possesses a feedback structure when it is voltage driven, and the two transistors are of similar type, thus we expected the circuit to posses an S-type NDR characteristic. In the PSPICE simulation, we swept the current supplied by voltage source V2 and observed the voltage across it, and resulting relation is shown in Figure 4-21. Note that the x -axis corresponds to the current flowing into the base of Q1, and the y -axis is the base voltage of Q1.


Figure 4-21: $\boldsymbol{i - v}$ Characteristic for the Schmitt Trigger

As we can see, for a voltage value of V 2 between 5 V and 5.2 V there exist three corresponding values of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 1}$, which translates to an S-type NDR. Similar results were also observed with our MATLAB simulation, where the system is expressed by the equation:

$$
F(v)+T^{-1} G+T^{-1} C=0 .
$$

And the determinant $\mathrm{c}^{13}$ corresponding to feedback structure $\operatorname{FS}(1,3)$ was evaluated to be $-3.668 \times 10^{-7}<0$, which satisfies the necessary condition for NDR as previously mentioned. Table $4-5$ below shows the comparison between the results from PSPICE and Matlab simulation.

Table 4-5: Comparison Table of PSPICE and Matlab for Schmitt Trigger

|  | Solution \# | V1 (V) | V2 (V) | V3 (V) | V4 (V) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSPICE | 1 | -0.476 | 12.334 | -0.739 | 0.787 |
|  | 2 | -0.678 | 11.358 | -0.733 | 2.62 |
|  | 3 | -0.739 | 0.452 | 2.65 | 18.08 |
| MATLAB | 1 | -0.33 | 13.112 | -0.737 | 0.605 |
|  | 2 | -0.688 | 10.954 | -0.733 | 3.08 |
|  | 3 | -0.734 | 0.421 | 2.659 | 18.093 |

As we may see, the two sets of resulting junction voltages are in general consistent with each other.

To further verify our simulation results, we also implemented the actual circuit and observed its behavior with a digital oscilloscope. Figure 4-22 shows the actual circuit implemented investigate the Schmitt Trigger circuit behavior.


Figure 4-22: Actual Circuit Built to Investigate the Schmitt Trigger Behavior

As indicated by the rectangles in Figure 4-22, the test circuit included two extra modules compared to the original circuit, where module 1 is a current source, and module 2 is a difference amplifier. Since the Schmitt Trigger circuit has an S-type NDR, a variable current source is necessary to the current entering the base of $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ in order to observe such characteristics. Thus, module 1 was added to provide a variable voltage source, and the current output is controlled by voltage source $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ and the value of resistor $\mathrm{R}_{3}$. Module 2 takes the voltage drop across $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}$, which in turn gives us the current entering the base of $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ at the node labeled $\mathrm{I}_{\text {out }}$. Plotting the relation of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Q} 2 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$ vs. Voltage at $\mathrm{I}_{\text {out }}$ with the oscilloscope xy-mode yields the relationship shown in Figure 4-23 a).


Figure 4-23: (a) experimental $v-i$ characteristic (b) simulation result
In time domain, the two voltages used to plot Figure 4-23 (a) are shown in Figure 4-24, where channel 1 and 2 represents the voltage at node $I_{\text {out }}$ and the base voltage of Q1, respectively.


Figure 4-24: Voltages at node $I_{\text {out }}(\operatorname{ch} 1)$ and the base of $Q_{1}(\operatorname{ch} 2)$ in time domain

From Figure 4-24, we see that for the region encircled where channel 2 is around 5V, there exhibits an NDR since the voltage of channel 1, representing the current flowing into the base of $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$, continuously increases while channel 2 , the base voltage of $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$, decreases in the region. This outcome is also consistent with our simulation result, we thereby verified the validity of our simulation models.

## 5 Discussion

In the process of simulating circuits with different approaches, we have observed some minor discrepancies between results obtain with different tools. In general, more differences were more significant between the simulation results for $v_{2}$ 's and $v_{4}$ 's, which correspond to the collector-to-base voltages of $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ of each circuit. This can be explained qualitatively by considering the nature of a properly biased transistor, which has its terminal currents depend mostly on the emitter-to-base voltage ( $v_{1}$ or $v_{3}$ ), and rather insensitive to changes in the collector-to-base voltage. In other words, significant changes in collector-to-base voltages will result in negligible effect on the overall behavior of the transistor, providing the transistor remains in the same operating region. Therefore, minor differences between the models used in PSPICE and MATLAB could cause observable discrepancies in the simulation results for $v_{2}$ 's and $v_{4}$ 's.

A few other points worth mentioning are related to the Schmitt trigger circuit. Figure 5-1 shows a screen capture of the output voltage vs. input voltage of the Schmitt trigger.


Figure 5-1:Vout v.s. Vin Relation of Schmitt Trigger.

This relation was not observed with PSPICE, in which only the forward step is shown in Figure 5-2. This is due to the fact that PSPICE is incapable of solving and displaying multiple solutions of the circuit at the same time, thus the resulting plot is a single step instead of the hysteresis observed in a real circuit.


Figure 5-2: $\mathbf{V}_{\text {out }}$ v.s. Vin $_{\text {in }}$ Relation of Schmitt Trigger, PSPICE Simulation

A difficulty encountered while implementing an actual Schmitt trigger circuit was finding a suitable variable current source. Several other designs of the current source including the Wilson current source were tested, and the resulting $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}$ vs $\mathrm{I}_{\text {out }}$ relations observed were all similar to the one shown in Figure 5-3.


Figure 5-3: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}$ v.s. $\mathrm{I}_{\text {out }}$ Relation for Schmitt Trigger with Wilson Current Source

Compare to Figure 4-23 a), we can see that the NDR has become an hysteresis. This is probably because we did not have direct control over the emitter-to-base voltages of the transistors in other designs such as Wilson current source. Thus the current output interacted with the Schmitt trigger circuit itself and would not increase steadily to allow the display of the rather unstable NDR region. This problem was mitigated by adding an Op-Amp with negative feedback to gain control over the emitter-to-base voltage which was what we did to obtain the result shown in Figure 4-23.

## 6 Conclusion

In this project we have investigated the necessary conditions for a two-transistor circuit to possess multiple operating points and exhibit NDR behavior and used them as a start point to help us identify if a circuit can potentially exhibit NDR behavior. In addition, theory of dc operating points of transistor networks from various papers was used as a reference along the way. We have successfully verified and illustrated that the five circuits we have investigated can all exhibit NDR behaviour when current and/or voltage driven. Some circuits can potentially possess nice and long NDR region; while others, at NDR occurrence, exhibit only very narrow NDR region. This phenomenon can be explained by the natural purpose of the circuit. For example, the Orchard circuit exhibits long and smooth NDR region since it is designed to be a negative resistance device; while Schmitt trigger's NDR region is very narrow since it is designed to be a trigger (i.e., operating point tend to switch toward the two ends and very unlikely stay at the middle operating point).
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## 8 Appendix

### 8.1 Circuit 1 - Flip Flop

\% file: flipflopconstants.m
function [FuncProp] = flipflopconstants(Rb1,Rb2,Rc1,Rc2,Ebb1,Ebb2,i,j)

```
%---------------------------------------------------------
```

\% Description:
$\% \quad$ This function returns the matrix properties of the nonlinear equation
$\% \quad \mathrm{~A} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{B}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{cbar}=0$
\% i.e., the matrix $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, as well as matrix cbar, which contains the
$\% \quad$ biasing information, depending on the circuit is voltage or current driven.
$\% \quad$ Since in this circuit G matrix exists $=>$
$\% \quad-\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}$ and $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{T} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})=>-\mathrm{T} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})=\mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}$
$\% \quad \Rightarrow \mathrm{~T} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}=0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{T})^{*} \mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{T}) * \mathrm{c}=0$
$\% \quad$ i.e., in this circuit, $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{I}$ (identity matrix), $\mathrm{B}=\operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{T})^{*} \mathrm{G}, \operatorname{cbar}=\operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{T})^{*} \mathrm{c}$
\% Input:
\% Rb1, Rb2, Rc1, Rc2: values of resistors
\% Ebb1,Ebb2: biasing voltage value (i.e., voltage driven)
$\% \quad \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ : feedback structure for calculating the only possible negative coefficient
\% Output:
\% FuncProp: a stack which consists of A, B, c, and cij
$\%$ columns 1~4: A
\% 5~8: B
\% 9~9: cbar
$\% \quad 10 \sim 13$ : the possible negative coefficient, whose
$\%$ columns $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ are taken from matrix A , and
$\%$ the rest columns from matrix B
$\% \quad 14 \sim 14$ : value of cij
\%-------------------------------
$\mathrm{Gb} 1=1 / \mathrm{Rb} 1$;
$\mathrm{Gb} 2=1 / \mathrm{Rb} 2$;
Gc1=1/Rc2;
Gc2=1/Rc2;
xf1=0.9976; \% forward gain for a npn transistor
xf2=0.9976; \% forward gain for a npn transistor
$\mathrm{xrl}=0.4243 ; \%$ reverse gain for a npn transistor
$\mathrm{xr} 2=0.4243 ; \%$ reverse gain for a npn transistor
\%-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
$\mathrm{G}=[\mathrm{Gb} 1+\mathrm{Gb} 2+\mathrm{Gc} 1, \quad-(\mathrm{Gc} 1+\mathrm{Gb} 2), \quad-(\mathrm{Gb} 1+\mathrm{Gb} 2), \quad \mathrm{Gb} 1$
$-(\mathrm{Gc} 1+\mathrm{Gb} 2), \quad \mathrm{Gc} 1+\mathrm{Gb} 2, \quad \mathrm{~Gb} 2, \quad 0$
$-(\mathrm{Gb} 1+\mathrm{Gb} 2), \quad \mathrm{Gb} 2, \quad \mathrm{~Gb} 1+\mathrm{Gb} 2+\mathrm{Gc} 2, \quad-(\mathrm{Gc} 2+\mathrm{Gb} 1)$
Gb1, $\quad 0, \quad-(\mathrm{Gc} 2+\mathrm{Gb} 1), \quad \mathrm{Gc} 2+\mathrm{Gb} 1] ;$

```
T = [1, -xrl, 0, 0
    -xfl, 1, 0, 0
    0, 0, 1, -xr2
    0, 0, -xf2, 1];
A = [1, 0, 0, 0
    0, 1, 0, 0
    0, 0, 1, 0
    0, 0, 0, 1];
B = inv(T)*G;
c = [Gc1*Ebb1,
        -Gc1*Ebb1,
        Gc2*Ebb2,
        -Gc2*Ebb2];
cbar = inv(T)*c;
%-------Determine the only possible negative minor-
    matrixtocheck = B;
    matrixtocheck(1:4,i) = A(1:4,i);
    matrixtocheck(1:4,j) = A(1:4,j);
    cij = [det(matrixtocheck); 0; 0; 0];
%---------------------------------------------------------------------
FuncProp = [A, B, cbar, matrixtocheck, cij];
% file: flipflop.m
function y = flipflop(v)
%
% Description:
% This function defines the set of nonlinear equations to solve.
% y = A*F(v) + B*v + cbar = 0
% where F(v)=(fl(v1),f2(v2), f3(v3), f4(v4) )t,
% and fi(vi) = mi(exp(ni*vi)-1), mi, ni > 0 for pnp and <0 for npn
% ni = q/kT and mi = Ie or Ic (emitter or collector saturation current)
% which is calculated to be around 38.61
FuncInfo = flipflopconstants(30000,40000,-----------------------------------------12000,1,3);
% call the "flipflopconstants" function and input resistor values, Vin, Ecc, and feedback
structure
A = FuncInfo(1:4,1:4);
B = FuncInfo(1:4,5:8);
c = FuncInfo(1:4,9);
% extract matrix A, B, and cbar
Ie04 = 6.734E-15; % Isat for emitter, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
Ic04 = 15.833E-15; % Isat for collector, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
```

```
y =
A*[-Ie04*(exp(-38.61*v(1))-1);-Ic04*(exp(-38.61*v(2))-1);-Ie04*(exp(-38.61*v(3))-1);-I
c04*(exp(-38.61*v(4))-1)] + B*[v(1);v(2);v(3);v(4)]+c;
% file: flipflopmain.m
%-
% Main program for the Flip-Flop circuit
% Description:
% In this main program, first we have to choose an initial point for each
% function f(v) that is "close" enough to the actual solution, obtained
% from PSPICE simulation result. Then the "fsolve" command is used to
% solve the set of nonlinear equations, and the answer is stored in a
% stach "Vschmitt". The properties of this nonlinear equation are also
% stored in a stack "FuncInfo".
%----------------------------------------------------------------------
FuncInfo = flipflopconstants(30000,40000,5000,2000,12,8,1,3);
init = [-0.7-0.02 -0.6 6]; % choose the initial point for each fi(vi)
Vflopflop = fsolve(@flipflop,init,optimset('TolX',0.0001,'Display','iter'));
```


### 8.2 Circuit 2

```
\% file: ckt2Edrivenconst.m
function [FuncProp] = ckt2Edrivenconst(R1,R2,R3,Vs,i,j)
%
% Description:
% This function returns the matrix properties of the nonlinear equation
% A*F(v) + B*v + c = 0
% i.e., the matrix A, B, as well as matrix c, which contains the
% biasing information, depending the circuit is voltage or current driven
% P*v + Q*i + c = 0 and i = T*F(v) => Q*T*F(v) + P*v + c = 0
% i.e., in this circuit, A = Q*T, B = P
% Input:
% R1, R2, R3: values of resistors
% Vs: biasing voltage value (i.e., voltage driven)
% i,j: feedback structure for calculating the only possible nagative
% coefficient cij
% Output:
% FuncProp: a stack which consists of A, B, c, and cij
% columns 1~4: A
% 5~8: B
% 9~9: c
% 10~13: matrix whose columns i,j are taken from A
% and the rest from B, without changing the order
% 14~14: value of cij
%-----Define the constants
Ie04 = 6.734E-15; % Isat for emitter, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
```

```
Ic04 \(=15.833 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad \%\) Isat for collector, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
Ie06 \(=1.41 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad \%\) Isat for emitter, for a pnp transistor, obtained from PSPICE
Ic06 \(=1.684 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad\) \% Isat for collector, for a pnp transistor, obtained from PSPICE
\(\mathrm{Rt}=\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R} 3\);
\(\mathrm{xfl}=0.9976 ; \%\) forward gain for a npn transistor
\(\mathrm{xrl}=0.4243 ; \%\) reverse gain for a npn transistor
\(\mathrm{xf} 2=0.9944 ; \%\) forward gain for a pnp transistor
\(\mathrm{xr} 2=0.8326 ; \%\) reverse gain for a pnp transistor
```




```
\(\mathrm{P}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}0, & 0, & 0, & 0\end{array}\right.\)
    \(0,1,1, \quad 0\)
    -1, 1, 0, 1
        \(1, \quad 0,0,0]\);
\(\mathrm{Q}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}0, & 1, & -1, & -1\end{array}\right.\)
        \(\begin{array}{cccc}((\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R} 3) * \mathrm{R} 1) / \mathrm{Rt}, & ((\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R} 3) * \mathrm{R} 1) / \mathrm{Rt}, & 0, & -(\mathrm{R} 1 * \mathrm{R} 3) / \mathrm{Rt} \\ -(1-(\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R} 3) / \mathrm{Rt}) * \mathrm{R} 3, & -(1-(\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R} 3) / \mathrm{Rt}) * \mathrm{R} 3, & 0, & (1-(\mathrm{R} 3 / \mathrm{Rt})) * \mathrm{R} 3\end{array}\)
        \(((\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R} 3) * \mathrm{R} 1) / \mathrm{Rt}, \quad((\mathrm{R} 2+\mathrm{R} 3) * \mathrm{R} 1) / \mathrm{Rt}, \quad 0, \quad-(\mathrm{R} 1 * \mathrm{R} 3) / \mathrm{Rt}] ;\)
\(\mathrm{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}1, & -x r 1, & 0, & 0\end{array}\right.\)
    -xf1, \(\quad 1, \quad 0, \quad 0\)
        \(0, \quad 0, \quad 1, \quad-x r 2\)
        \(0, \quad 0, \quad-x f 2, \quad 1]\);
\(\mathrm{c}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0\end{array}\right.\)
        \(-(\mathrm{R} 1 / \mathrm{Rt}) * \mathrm{Vs}^{2}\)
        \(-(\mathrm{R} 3 / \mathrm{Rt}) * \mathrm{Vs}\)
        \((1-(\mathrm{R} 1 / \mathrm{Rt})) * \mathrm{Vs}]\);
\(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{~T}\);
\(\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{P}\);
\%-------Determine the only possible negative minor-
    matrixtocheck \(=\mathrm{B}\);
    matrixtocheck \((1: 4, i)=\mathrm{A}(1: 4, i)\);
    matrixtocheck \((1: 4, \mathrm{j})=\mathrm{A}(1: 4, \mathrm{j})\);
    \(\mathrm{cij}=[\operatorname{det}(\) matrixtocheck \() ; 0 ; 0 ; 0]\);
```



```
FuncProp \(=[A, B, c\), matrixtocheck, cij];
\% file: ckt2.m
function \(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{ckt} 2(\mathrm{v})\)
\%----------------------------------------------------------------------------
\% Description:
\(\% \quad\) This function defines the set of nonlinear equations to solve.
\(\% \quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{A} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{B}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}=0\)
```

$\% \quad$ where $F(v)=(f 1(v 1), f 2(v 2), f 3(v 3), f 4(v 4)) t$,
$\% \quad$ and $\mathrm{fi}(\mathrm{vi})=\operatorname{mi}\left(\exp \left(n i^{*} \mathrm{vi}\right)-1\right), \mathrm{mi}, \mathrm{ni}>0$ for pnp and $<0$ for npn
$\%$ $\mathrm{ni}=\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{kT}$ and $\mathrm{mi}=\mathrm{Ie}$ or Ic (emitter or collector saturation current) which is calculated to be about 38.61

FuncInfo $=$ ckt2Edrivenconst $(10,3000,10,1.1,1,3)$;
\%FuncInfo = ckt2Idrivenconst(11000,3000,2000,10e-3,1,4);
\% call the "ckt2Edrivenconst" or "ckt2Idrivenconst" function, depending on
$\%$ whether the circuit is voltage or current driven, and input resistor values,
\% biasing information (i.e, Is or Vs) and feedback structure
A = FuncInfo(1:4,1:4);
$B=\operatorname{Func} \operatorname{Info}(1: 4,5: 8)$;
$\mathrm{c}=$ FuncInfo( $1: 4,9$ );
\% extract matrix A, B, and c
Ie04 $=6.734 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad$ \% Isat for emitter, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
Ic04 $=15.833 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad \%$ Isat for collector, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
Ie06 $=1.41 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad \%$ Isat for emitter, for a pnp transistor, obtained from PSPICE
Ic06 = 1.684E-15; \% Isat for collector, for a pnp transistor, obtained from PSPICE \% saturation currents for Q2N3904 (npn) transistor
$\mathrm{y}=$
A*[-Ie04*(exp(-38.61*v(1))-1);-Ic04*(exp(-38.61*v(2))-1);Ie06*(exp(38.61*v(3))-1);Ic0
6* $(\exp (38.61 * \mathrm{v}(4))-1)]+\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{v}(1) ; \mathrm{v}(2) ; \mathrm{v}(3) ; \mathrm{v}(4)]+\mathrm{c}$;
\% file: ckt2main.m
\%-
\% Main program for circuit \#2
\% Description:
$\% \quad$ In this main program, first we have to choose an initial point for each
$\% \quad$ function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{v})$ that is "close" enough to the actual solution, obtained
$\% \quad$ from PSPICE simulation result. Then the "fsolve" command is used to
$\% \quad$ solve the set of nonlinear equations, and the answer is stored in a
$\% \quad$ stack "Vckt2". The properties of this nonlinear equation, obtained from
\% "ckt2Edrivenconst" or "ckt2Idrivenconst" function, are also stored in a stack
"FuncInfo".
\%
FuncInfo = ckt2Edrivenconst(15000,5000,10,1.1,1,3);
\%FuncInfo = ckt2Idrivenconst(11000,3000,2000,10e-3,1,4);
init $=\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right] ; \quad \%$ choose the initial point for each $v$
Vckt2=fsolve(@ckt2,init,optimset('TolX',0.001,'Display','iter'));

### 8.3 Circuit 3

\% file: constants.m
function [FuncProp] = constants(R1,R2,R3,Is,i,j)
\%
\% Description:
\% This function returns the matrix properties of the nonlinear equation
$\% \quad \mathrm{~A} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{B} * \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{cbar}=0$
$\% \quad$ i.e., the matrix $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, as well as matrix cbar, which contains the
$\%$ biasing information, depending the circuit is voltage or current driven
$\% \quad$ (feedback structure exists only when the circuit is current
$\%$ driven; therefore, the matrices here are only for the current driven case
$\% \quad \mathrm{P}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{c}$ and $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{T} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})=>\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{~T}^{*} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{P} * \mathrm{v}-\mathrm{c}=0$
$\% \quad$ i.e., in this circuit, $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{P}$
\% Input:
\% R1, R2, R3: values of resistors
$\% \quad$ Is: biasing current value (i.e., current driven)
$\% \quad$ i,j: feedback structure for calculating the only possible
\% nagative coefficient
\% Output:
\% FuncProp: a stack which consists of A, B, c, and cij
$\% \quad 14 \sim 14$ : value of cij
\%-----Define the constants
$\mathrm{G} 1=1 / \mathrm{R} 1$;
G2=1/R2;
G3 $=1 / \mathrm{R} 3$;
$\mathrm{xfl}=0.9976 ; \%$ forward gain for a npn transistor
$\mathrm{xrl}=0.4243 ; \%$ reverse gain for a npn transistor
$\mathrm{xf} 2=0.9976$; \% forward gain for a npn transistor
$\mathrm{xr2}=0.4243 ; \%$ reverse gain for a npn transistor
\%------------------------------------------------------------------------------
\%------Define the matrices P, Q, T, and c-----------------------------------
$\mathrm{P}=[-\mathrm{G} 2, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 0$
$1,-1,-1, \quad 0$
$0, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad \mathrm{G} 3$
G2, G1, 0, G1];
$\mathrm{Q}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}-1, & 0, & -1, & 0\end{array}\right.$
$0, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 0$
$0, \quad-1, \quad 1, \quad 1$
$1, \quad 1, \quad 0, \quad 0]$;
$\mathrm{T}=[1, \quad-\mathrm{xrl}, \quad 0, \quad 0$
-xf1, 1, $0, \quad 0$
$0, \quad 0, \quad 1, \quad-x r 2$
$0, \quad 0, \quad-x f 2, \quad 1]$;

```
c = [Is
        0
        0
        0];
A = Q*T;
B = P;
%
%-------Determine the only possible negative minor-
    matrixtocheck = B;
    matrixtocheck(1:4,i) = A(1:4,i);
    matrixtocheck(1:4,j) = A(1:4,j);
    cij = [det(matrixtocheck); 0; 0; 0];
%----------------------------------------------------------------------------
FuncProp = [A, B, c, matrixtocheck, cij];
% file: circuit5.m
function y = circuit5(v)
%----------------------------------------------------------------------
% Description:
% This function defines the set of nonlinear equations to solve.
% y = A*F(v) + B*v + c = 0
% where F(v) = (fl(v1), f2(v2), f3(v3), f4(v4) )t,
% and fi(vi) = mi(exp(ni*vi)-1), mi, ni >0 for pnp and <0 for npn
% ni = q/kT and mi = Ie or Ic (emitter or collector saturation current)
% which is calculated to be about 38.61
%---------------------------------------------------------------------------
FuncInfo = constants(2200,470,10000,9.2E-3,1,3);
% call the "constants" function and input resistor values, I, and feedback structure
A = FuncInfo(1:4,1:4);
B = FuncInfo(1:4,5:8);
c = FuncInfo(1:4,9);
% extract matrix A, B, and c
Ie04 = 6.734E-15; % Isat for emitter, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
Ic04 = 15.833E-15; % Isat for collector, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
y=
A*[-Ie04*(exp(-38.61*v(1))-1);-Ic04*(exp(-38.61*v(2))-1);-Ie04*(exp(-38.61*v(3))-1);-I
c04*(exp(-38.61*v(4))-1)] + B*[v(1);v(2);v(3);v(4)] - c;
% file: circuit5main.m
%-
% Main program for circuit #5
% Description:
% In this main program, first we have to choose an initial point for each
% function f(v) that is "close" enough to the actual solution, obtained
% from PSPICE simulation result. Then the "fsolve" command is used to
```

$\% \quad$ solve the set of nonlinear equations, and the answer is stored in a
$\% \quad$ stack "Vckt5". The properties of this nonlinear equation, obtained from
$\% \quad$ "constants" function, are also stored in a stack "FuncInfo".

FuncInfo $=$ constants $(2200,470,10000,9.2 \mathrm{E}-3,1,3)$;
init $=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$; ; \% choose the initial point for each $v$
Vckt5=fsolve(@circuit5,init,optimset('TolX',0.001,'Display','iter'));

### 8.4 Circuit 4 - Orchard

\% file: orchardconst.m
function [FuncProp] $=$ orchardconst(R1,R2,R3,R4,R5,Is,i,j)
\%
\% Description:
$\% \quad$ This function returns the matrix properties of the nonlinear equation
$\% \quad \mathrm{~A} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{B}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}=0$
$\% \quad$ i.e., the matrix $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, as well as matrix c , which contains the
$\% \quad$ biasing information, depending the circuit is voltage or current driven
$\% \quad \mathrm{P}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{c}=0$ and $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{T} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})=>\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{~T}^{*} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{P}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}=0$
$\% \quad$ i.e., in this circuit, $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{P}$
\% Input:
\% R1, R2, R3, R4, R5: values of resistors
$\% \quad$ Is: biasing current value (i.e., current driven)
$\% \quad \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}:$ feedback structure for calculating the only possible nagative
\% coefficient
\% Output:
\% FuncProp: a stack which consists of A, B, c, and cij
$\%$ columns 1~4: A
$\%$ 5~8: B
\%
\%
\%
9~9: с
10~13: matrix whose columns i,j are taken from A
\% and the rest from B , without changing the order $14 \sim 14$ : value of cij
\%-----Define the constants-
$\mathrm{G} 1=1 / \mathrm{R} 1$;
$\mathrm{G} 2=1 / \mathrm{R} 2$;
$\mathrm{G} 3=1 / \mathrm{R} 3$;
$\mathrm{G} 4=1 / \mathrm{R} 4$;
G5=1/R5;
$\mathrm{xfl}=0.9944 ; \%$ forward gain for a pnp transistor
$\mathrm{xf} 2=0.9944 ; \%$ forward gain for a pnp transistor
$\mathrm{xrl}=0.8326 ; \%$ reverse gain for a pnp transistor
$\mathrm{xr} 2=0.8326 ; \%$ reverse gain for a pnp transistor

$\mathrm{Po}=[0, \quad \mathrm{R} 5, \quad 0, \quad \mathrm{R} 3+\mathrm{R} 5$
$0, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 1$

```
    R3, R1+R2, 0, R1+R2
    0, R2+R3, R3, R2];
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\(\mathrm{Q} o=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0, & 0, & 0, \\
0, & -\mathrm{R} 5, & \mathrm{R} 3 * \mathrm{R} 5 \\
\mathrm{R} 3 *(\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2), & \mathrm{R} 3 *(\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2), & -\mathrm{R} 1 * \mathrm{R} 3, \\
\mathrm{R} 2 * \mathrm{R} 3, & \mathrm{R} 2 * \mathrm{R} 3, & \mathrm{R} 3 * \mathrm{R} 4,\end{array}\right.\) & \(0] ;\)
\end{tabular}
To =[ 1, -xrl, 0, 0
    -xf1, 1, 0, 0
        0, 0, 1, -xr2
        0, 0, -xf2, 1];
co = [Is*R3*R5
        0
        0
        0];
Ao = Qo*To;
Bo = Po;
%------Determine the only possible negative minor-
    matrixtochecko = Bo;
    matrixtochecko(1:4,i) = Ao(1:4,i);
    matrixtochecko(1:4,j) = Ao(1:4,j);
    cijo = [det(matrixtochecko); 0; 0; 0];
%---------------------------------------------------------------------
FuncProp = [Ao, Bo, co, matrixtochecko, cijo];
% file: Orchard.m
function yo = orchard(v)
%-----------------------------------------------------------------
% Description:
% This function defines the set of nonlinear equations to solve.
% y = A*F(v) + B*v + c = 0
% where F(v) = (f1(v1), f2(v2), f3(v3), f4(v4) )t,
% and fi(vi) = mi(exp(ni*vi)-1), mi, ni > 0 for pnp and <0 for npn
% ni = q/kT and mi = Ie or Ic (emitter or collector saturation current)
% which is calculated to be about }38.6
FuncInfo = orchardconst(110,237,21500,178,21500,-1.6E-3,1,3);% from the original
circuit values
A = FuncInfo(1:4,1:4);
B = FuncInfo(1:4,5:8);
c = FuncInfo(1:4,9);
% extract matrices A, B, c
```

Ie06 $=1.41 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad$ \% Isat for emitter, for a pnp transistor, obtained from PSPICE Ic06 $=1.684 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad \%$ Isat for collector, for a pnp transistor, obtained from PSPICE $\mathrm{y}=$
A*[Ie06*(exp(38.61*v(1))-1); $\operatorname{Ic} 06 *\left(\exp \left(38.61^{*} \mathrm{v}(2)\right)-1\right) ; \operatorname{Ie} 06 *\left(\exp \left(38.61^{*} \mathrm{v}(3)\right)-1\right) ; \operatorname{Ic} 06 *($
$\left.\left.\exp \left(38.61^{*} \mathrm{v}(4)\right)-1\right)\right]+\mathrm{B}^{*}[\mathrm{v}(1) ; \mathrm{v}(2) ; \mathrm{v}(3) ; \mathrm{v}(4)]+\mathrm{c}$;
\% file: Orchardmain.m
\%
\% Description:
$\% \quad$ In this main program, first we have to choose an initial point for each
$\% \quad$ function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{v})$ that is "close" enough to the actual solution, obtained
\% from PSPICE simulation result. Then the "fsolve" command is used to
$\%$ solve the set of nonlinear equations, and the answer is stored in a
\% stack "Vorchard". The properties of this nonlinear equation, obtained from
\% "orchardconst" function, are also stored in a stack "FuncInfo".
FuncInfo $=$ orchardconst $(110,237,21500,178,21500,-1.6 \mathrm{E}-3,1,3)$;
orchardinit $=[.45-1-6-8] ; \quad \%$ choose the initial point for each $v$
Vorchard = fsolve(@orchard,orchardinit,optimset('TolX',0.001,'Display','iter'));

### 8.5 Circuit 5 - Schmitt Trigger

\% file: schmittconstants.m
function [FuncProp] = schmittconstants(R1,R2,Rc1,Rc2,Re,Vin,Ecc,i,j)
\%
\% Description:
$\%$ This function returns the matrix properties of the nonlinear equation
$\% \quad \mathrm{~A} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{B} * \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{cbar}=0$
$\% \quad$ i.e., the matrix $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, as well as matrix cbar, which contains the
$\%$ biasing information, depending on the circuit is voltage or current
$\% \quad$ driven (note: feedback structure exists only when the circuit is voltage
$\% \quad$ driven; therefore, the matrices here are only for the voltage driven
\% case)
$\% \quad$ Since in this circuit G matrix exists $=>$
$\% \quad-\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}$ and $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{T} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})=>-\mathrm{T} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})=\mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}$
$\% \quad=>\mathrm{T} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{v})+\mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{c}=0=>\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{v})+\operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{T}) * \mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{v}+\operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{T}) * \mathrm{c}=0$
$\% \quad$ i.e., in this circuit, $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{I}$ (identity matrix), $\mathrm{B}=\operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{T})^{*} \mathrm{G}, \operatorname{cbar}=\operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{T})^{*} \mathrm{c}$
\% Input:
\% R1, R2, Rc1, Rc2, Re: values of resistors
$\% \quad$ Vin: biasing voltage value (i.e., voltage driven)
\% Ecc: power supply voltage
$\% \quad \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}:$ feedback structure for calculating the only possible nagative
\% coefficient cij
\% Output:
\% FuncProp: a stack which consists of A, B, c, and cij
$\%$ columns 1~4: A

```
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%-----Define the constants-
G1=1/R1;
G2=1/R2;
Ge=1/Re;
Gc1=1/Rc1;
Gc2=1/Rc2;
xf1=0.9976; % forward gain for a npn transistor
xf2=0.9976; % forward gain for a npn transistor
xrl=0.4243; % reverse gain for a npn transistor
xr2=0.4243; % reverse gain for a npn transistor
%---------------------------------------------------------------------------
%------Define the matrices P, Q, T, and c-------------------------------
G}=[\textrm{G}1+\textrm{G}2+\textrm{Ge}+\textrm{Gc}2,\quad-G1,\quad-G1-G2-Gc2,\quadGc
    -G1, G1+Gc1,
                            G1, 0
-G1-G2-Gc2, G1, G1+G2+Gc2, -Gc2
Gc2, 0, -Gc2, Gc2];
T = [1, -xrl, 0, 0
    -xf1, 1, 0, 0
    0, 0, 1, -xr2
    0, 0, -xf2, 1];
c = [(G2+Ge+Gc2)*Vin - Gc2*Ecc,
                Gc1*(Vin-Ecc),
    -(G2+Gc2)*Vin + Gc2*Ecc,
        Gc2*(Vin - Ecc)];
\(\left.\mathrm{A}=\begin{array}{llll}{[1,} & 0, & 0, & 0 \\ 0, & 1, & 0, & 0 \\ 0, & 0, & 1, & 0 \\ 0, & 0, & 0, & 1\end{array}\right] ;\)
B = inv(T)*G;
cbar = inv(T)*c;
%-------Determine the only possible negative minor-
    matrixtocheck = B;
    matrixtocheck(1:4,i) = A(1:4,i);
    matrixtocheck(1:4,j) = A(1:4,j);
    cij = [det(matrixtocheck); 0; 0; 0];
%-------------------------------------------------------------------
```

FuncProp $=[A, B$, cbar, matrixtocheck, cij];
\% file: schmitt.m
function $y=\operatorname{schmitt}(v)$
$\%$
\% Description:
$\% \quad$ This function defines the set of nonlinear equations to solve.
$\% \quad y=A * F(v)+B * v+c b a r=0$
$\% \quad$ where $F(v)=(f 1(v 1), f 2(v 2), f 3(v 3), f 4(v 4)) t$,
$\% \quad$ and $\mathrm{fi}(\mathrm{vi})=\operatorname{mi}\left(\exp \left(\mathrm{ni}^{*} \mathrm{vi}\right)-1\right), \mathrm{mi}, \mathrm{ni}>0$ for pnp and $<0$ for npn
$\% \quad \mathrm{ni}=\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{kT}$ and $\mathrm{mi}=\mathrm{Ie}$ or Ic (emitter or collector saturation current)
$\% \quad$ which is calculated to be around 38.61
FuncInfo $=$ schmittconstants $(8000,4000,1000,1000,330,5.30,20,1,3)$;
\% call the "schmittconstants" function and input resistor values, Vin, Ecc, and feedback structure
A = FuncInfo(1:4, 1:4);
$B=F u n c I n f o(1: 4,5: 8) ;$
cbar $=$ FuncInfo( $1: 4,9$ );
\% extract matrix A, B, and cbar
Ie04 $=6.734 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad$ \% Isat for emitter, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
$\mathrm{Ic} 04=15.833 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \quad \%$ Isat for collector, for a npn transistor, obtained from PSPICE
$\mathrm{y}=$
A*[-Ie* $(\exp (-38.61 * v(1))-1) ;-\mathrm{Ic} *(\exp (-38.61 * \mathrm{v}(2))-1) ;-\mathrm{Ie} *(\exp (-38.61 * \mathrm{v}(3))-1) ;-\mathrm{Ic} *(\exp$
$(-38.61 * v(4))-1)]+B *[v(1) ; v(2) ; v(3) ; \mathrm{v}(4)]+\mathrm{cbar} ;$
\% file: schmittmain.m
$\%$
\% Main program for the Schmitt Trigger circuit
\% Description:
$\% \quad$ In this main program, first we have to choose an initial point for each
$\% \quad$ function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{v})$ that is "close" enough to the actual solution, obtained
$\% \quad$ from PSPICE simulation result. Then the "fsolve" command is used to
$\%$ solve the set of nonlinear equations, and the answer is stored in a
$\% \quad$ stack "Vschmitt". The properties of this nonlinear equation, obtained from
$\% \quad$ "schmittconstants" function, are also stored in a stack "FuncInfo".
FuncInfo $=$ schmittconstants $(11000,8000,1000,1000,330,5.3,10,1,3) ;$
init $=\left[\begin{array}{llll}-1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right] ; \%$ choose the initial point for each fi(vi)
Vschmitt = fsolve(@schmitt,init,optimset('TolX',0.0001,'Display','iter'));

