

Elementium

Cost Effective Braille Embosser Brendan Fairs Yiran Du Heedong Park

<u>Rio Li</u>

ENSC 440 Project Demo December 14th, 2010



- Brendan Fairs Chief Executive Officer
- Yiran Du Chief Operations Officer
- Heedong Park Chief Financial Officer
- Rio Li Chief Technical Officer



Overview

- Introduction/Motivation
- System Overview
- High Level Design
- Financial Outlook
- Project Organization
- What we learned
- Conclusion



Introduction

- ~594, 000 Canadian adults are visually impaired
- 49% of these people are non-senior
- Only 32% are employed¹
- Urgent need for education in Braille
- Visually impaired people in most developing countries cannot afford Braille education

1. Canadian National Institute for the Blind



- Very Expensive
 \$1800-\$5000
- Few people own one personally



Elementium

- Practical focus on basic needs
- Functional easy to use
- Affordable!



System Overview



Figure 1: Block diagram of system stages



Braille Dots

• American Standard Sign²



Figure 2: Standard Braille cell dimensions

Horizontal	Vertical	Cell to	Line to line	Dot base	Dot height
dot to dot	dot to dot	cell		diameter	
a	b	С	d	e	
2.28 mm	2.28 mm	6.09 mm	10.16 mm	1.5 mm	0.5 mm

2. Canadian Braille Authority

Previous Mechanical Design Ideas

- Electromagnetic linear actuators (miniature) used to emboss directly
- Electromagnet + Strong rare earth magnet pair
- Commercial electromagnetic linear actuators + Moving Shuttle
- Why we abandoned the ideas?(After Experiments)
 - Strength
 - Resources availability
 - Precise Production of units required
 - Cost





Figure 3. Graphical concept Embossing Mechanism

Mechanical Design – Pin Selection Mechanism

- Electromagnetic linear actuators used horizontally
 - Energized actuators block corresponding embossing pin
 - Non-energized actuators allow unused embossing pins to move freely vertically
- Why we designed in that way?
 - Limitations of the miniature solenoid (Strength)
 - Limitations of the microcontroller (Current Supply)
 - Cost(Reduce extra cost of electronics parts)(Current Amplification Network)





Figure 4. Plunger is at default position, the corresponding pin is clear

Figure 5. Plunger is at active position, the corresponding pin is locked

© 2010 Sense Solutions

Mechanical Design – Change in Press mechanism

- Previous Idea Motor lift
- Why we changed?
 - Not enough Torque generated to lift the actuation enclosure
 - Hard to provide guided motion
 - Not enough pressure from the weight of the actuation enclosure alone
- Advantages in current mechanism
 - Reduced force required to press

Mechanical Design – Emboss Mechanism Flow

- 1. When pins are configured(selected)
- 2. Press Motor will push the enclosure of the pins
- 3. All pins will hit the embossing surface
- 4. Selected pins will emboss on the paper surface
- 5. Unselected pins will be pushed upward against the paper tension
- 6. Motor will release the push of the enclosure of pins
- 7. Pins will be reset to default position by gravity





Figure 6. Actuator enclosure is in default position and pins are above the embossing surface

Figure 7. Actuator enclosure is pressed and pins make contact with the embossing surface







Figure 8. DC motor drives the gear network and linear motion moves the actuation enclosure vertically

Figure 9. Individual poles with springs guide the actuation enclosure in correct vertical path





Figure 10. Embossing Surface, It shows pre-configured hemispheric holes aligned in exact measurement



- We used parts from an obsolete printer for this mechanism
- Microcontroller controlled motor driver sends electrical signals to drive DC motor for the mechanism(gears network)
 - Counter Clockwise: Feed a paper into roller position
 - Clockwise: moves the paper with precision
- Guide paper on the embossing surface
 - Paper lift
 - Aluminum metal sheet
 - Low friction
 - Flexibility





Arduino Mega 2560



- Why we choose this particular model
 - Sufficient Number of IO Pins
 - Current Management
 - Includes USB Interface
 - Provides Programming Software
- Functions
 - Receives Data from PC and sends command signals to actuators and motor controller
 - Receives User Inputs and changes the state of process





Adafruit Motor Shield – Replaced to meet mechanical requirements

Motor Controller – Adafruit Motor Shield

- Why we need a motor controller(driver)
 - Motors used for the mechanical design requires a lot of torque which must be produced by a motor with a high current supply that cannot be supplied by the microcontroller alone
 - Conversion of microcontroller command signals to Pulse Signals to feed in the motors
- Functions
 - Receives command signals from microcontroller
 - Provides adequate current to run motors





L298 H-Bridge Dual Bidirectional Motor Driver



- Why we chose this motor controller(driver)
 - The controller allows the user to independently control two motors of up to 2A each bidirectionally
 - Direct control from the microcontroller command signals
 - Heat sink, Schottky EMF protection diodes, voltage regulator
 - Displays Current feed with incorporated 4 direction LEDs



- User Software
 - Programmed in Java using Netbeans IDE
 - Receives user input, translates ASCII text file to Braille output in binary string form
 - Three modules: Graphical User Interface,
 Communication Establishment, Conversion
 - Sends the data output to microcontroller via serial communication when microcontroller requests using thread notification scheme
- Translation Process



- Microcontroller Programming
 - Programmed in C
 - Receives corresponding Braille data output from client PC
 - Controls press motor, paper positioning motor, pins selection
 - Respond to the user inputs via Interrupt Service
 Routine embedded



- Inexpensive electromagnetic linear actuators (40)
- DC Motors From the obsolete printer
- Prototype contains retrofitted and inexpensive materials
 - Most materials would require custom build
 - Pins: Metal nails -> ball point pen head
 - Structural support: Plexi glass



Power

- Requires no extra power management circuitry
- Powered by a DC 12V adapter (3.3A)
- Voltage regulation circuitry already interfaced
- Fuse mechanism





LEDEX STA 1cm X 2cm PUSH + Custom Return Spring



- Why we choose this particular model
 - Miniature(1cm)
 - Able to operate in small current(40mA)
 - Long life time(25 million cycles)
 - Unit Price(\$1.75)
- Functions
 - Receives a signal from microcontroller and push its plunger above corresponding emboss pin, preventing the pin to move when pressed



- Total expected expenditure:
 - \$400
- Breakdown of expenditure:

Item	Cost(\$)
R&D Costs	79.84
Actuators	109.1
Electronics	119.64
Structural Materials	160.51
General Supplies	32.2
Shipping	151.7
Total	652.99



Cost of Device

• Cost of device:

Item	Qty	Cost(\$)
Microcontroller	1	\$64.95
Pins	40	\$31.84
Switches	3	\$3
Enclosure	1	\$20
Motor Driver	1	\$19.95
Solenoids	40	\$70
Total		\$209.74



Project Organization



Timeline

GANTT project	\sim			Sep - 10 October 2010					Nove	November 2010					
Name	Begin date	End date	Duration	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
Documentation	9/20/10	12/9/10	58		-	_				_		-	_		_
Project Proposal	9/20/10	9/23/10	3												
Functional Specification	9/23/10	10/14/10	15												
Design Specification	10/14/10	11/14/10	22				[
Written Progress Report	11/15/10	11/18/10	3												
Group Presentation	11/18/10	12/2/10	10												
-Post Mortem	12/2/10	12/9/10	5												
Hardware Design	9/27/10	10/27/10	22		_				-	-		-			
Braille dot raise system	9/27/10	10/27/10	22												
Mechanical design integration	10/11/10	10/16/10	5												
Power Supply Network	10/18/10	10/20/10	2												
Software Design	9/21/10	10/26/10	25	-											
Conversion program	9/21/10	10/21/10	22		_										
Data transfer	10/21/10	10/26/10	3					[
Microcontroller Interface	9/27/10	11/10/10	32		_										
Embedded program	9/27/10	11/10/10	32											-	
CPLD Network	10/11/10	10/16/10	5												
User Input	10/18/10	11/2/10	11												
Testing & Modifications	10/27/10	11/20/10	18						_		-	_		-	-
Hardware Testing	10/28/10	11/4/10	5												
Software Testing	10/27/10	11/4/10	6												
Mcrocontroller Testina	11/10/10	11/20/10	8												



- Week #46, 'design specification' delayed by half a week
- Hardware design was extended by approximately 4 weeks due to the delay of mechanical deign.
- Testing Modification started in week #47 instead of week # 43



- Few issues amongst group members
 - Sharing of information
- Patience and openness key qualities
- Friendships established before project began
 - Complementary personalities



- Improved documentation skills
- Hands on experience with software design
- Gained experience with hardware design
- Improved practiced mechanical skills
- Improved soldering skills
- Improved team-work skills
- Engineering product development cycle



Challenges

- Mechanical Precision
- Alignment
- Building Structure
- Selection of right materials



Conclusion

- Very Challenging project
- Tested skills learned in previous courses (software/hardware design)
- Tested brand new skills (mechanical design)
- Excellent opportunity to experience research and design
- Produced a working product



- Dr. Andrew Rawicz SFU Engineering Science
- Mike Sjoerdsma SFU Engineering Science
- Ali Ostadfar Senior Design Engineer
- Staff in CNIB
- And all others who contributed towards our project success



Questions?